

Fertility between Desire and Reality

- Differences in childbearing parities of East and West Germans -

Introduction

Starting point:

East and West Germans still show differences in childbearing parities (amongst others Dorbritz 2010) → Since cohorts who experienced the process of reunification have not finished their fertility phase yet, the desire for children should be considered.

		Assuming ide		L NUMBER		LDREN uld you like to		
	No children		One child		Two children		Three and more children	
REGION (Ref: west)	0.727	0.715	2.598 ***	2.432 ***	1.263	1.208	0.454 ***	0.492 ***
CONTROL VARIABLES								
Gender (Ref: male)		0.886		1.051		1.056		0.948
Migration background (Ref: without)		0.971		0.806		0.766 ~		1.484 *
Education (Ref: 12 th /13 th grade)								
No qualification		. a)		5.136 *		1.277		0.312
9 th grade		1.627		1.152		1.562 *		0.432 ***
10 th grade		1.099		2.131 ***		1.167		0.566 ***
N	1380	1371 ^{a)}	1380	1380	1380	1380	1380	1380
R ²	0.002	0.006	0.022	0.042	0.001	0.006	0.012	0.031
a) Dropped due to perfect prediction of failure. ~ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, ** p<0.001								

1380 0.015 0.006 0.012

REAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN

Two children

One child

2.339

Research question: How might the remaining differences of childbearing parities of East and West Germans be explained?

After German reunification, a number of determinants with effects on childbearing parities remain feasible:

•child care availability •presence of children in giving surroundings

 partnership stability religiosity

Data: pairfam (Release 3.0), 1145 West and 235 East German childless men and women, who were born between 1981 and 1983 and therefore

grew up during the transformation of the two German states.

Methods: Logistic regressions (Odds Ratios) with the ideal and real number of children as dependent variables. Since these statements are no actual transitions and a shift of opinion is possible at any time and in any direction all of the other categories (none, one, two resp. three and more

children) constitute the reference. The following models control for the variables of gender, migration background and education as well. For the sake of clarity the coefficients are not displayed.

Variable:

Child care...

Mechanism: ...reduces opportunity costs for combining family life

and employment (e.g. Hank et al. 2004, Huinink 2005)

Variable: Mother or mother-in-law lives nearby

	IDEAL NUMBE	R OF CHILDREN	REAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN			
	One child	Three and more children	One child	Two children	Three and more children	
REGION (Ref: west)	2.432 ***	0.490 ***	2.364 ***	0.735 *	0.608 ~	
CONTROL VARIABLES						
CHILD CARE						
Mother (-in-law) lives nearby	0.989	0.989	0.838	0.961	1.040	
N	1380	1380	1380	1380	1380	
R ²	0.042	0.031	0.024	0.006	0.012	
Mother (-in-law) lives nearby	1380	1380	1380	1380	1380	

A short distance to a potential grandmother does not change the influence of the regional origin on the desire for having children.

One child

Three and more children REAL NUMBER OF CHILD Two T children Three and more 2.340 *

Friends or siblings have children under three years of age

pressure and the positive influence on the perception of

parenthood (dis-) advantages of parenthood (e.g. Institut für

Presence of children...

0.013

Having friends and siblings who are parents hardly has any impact on the differences in the desire for children between East and West Germans.

Mechanism: ...as matter of course, as something which causes peer

Demoskopie Allensbach 2004, Keim et al. 2009)

Partnership stability...

...determines the investment in the partnership

(e.g. Eggen 2006, Institut für Demoskopie Allensbach 2004)

Partnership status (single, living apart together, cohabiting, Variables:

Number of partnerships (none, one, two to four, five and more)

	IDEAL NUMBER	R OF CHILDREN	REAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN			
	One child	Three and more children	One child	Two children	Three and more children	
REGION (Ref: west)	2.357 ***	0.504 ***	2.274 ***	0.743 *	0.644 ~	
CONTROL VARIABLES PARTNERSHIP STABILITY		***				
Partner status (Ref: single)	0.885	1.297	0.799	1.297	1.325	
Cohabiting	1.057	1.093	0.761	1.303 ~	1.360	
Married Number of partners (Ref: none)	0.710	1.674 *	0.846	0.785	3.314 ***	
One	0.870	0.775	0.785	1.553 *	0.620	
Two to four	0.938	0.661 ~	0.911	1.614 *	0.618	
Five and more	0.135 ~	1.449	0.506	1.963 *	0.842	
N	1380	1380	1380	1380	1380	
R ²	0.053	0.042	0.028	0.018	0.034	

The consideration of the stability of own partnerships reduces the East-West differences only slightly with the regional origin remaining a significant parity predictor.

Religion...

Mechanism: ... "Be fruitful and multiply" (1 Moses), determines

norms and values (e.g. Bruchholz et al. 2002, Eggen 2006)

Confession (none, Catholic, Protestant, other) Variables:

Church attendance (often, sometimes, never)

	IDEAL NUMBE	ER OF CHILDREN	REAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN			
	One child	Three and more children	One child	Two children	Three and more children	
REGION (Ref: west)	1.866 *	0.575 *	1.919 **	0.790	0.805	
CONTROL VARIABLES RELIGION Confession (Ref: none)						
Catholic	0.539	1.103	0.904	0.911	1.217	
Protestant	1.186	0.929	1.428	0.706 ~	1.342	
Other	1.037	0.863	1.510	0.498 *	1.896	
Church attendance (Ref: often)						
Never	1.567	0.399 ***	1.644	2.012 **	0.264 ***	
More than five	2.262	0.288 ***	3.486 ***	1.101	0.201 ***	
N	1380	1380	1380	1380	1380	
R ²	0.059	0.053	0.047	0.023	0.064	

~ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

Controlling for confession and church attendance, the impact of the regional origin declines remarkably. Only weak correlations can be observed in the categories "ideal desire" for one and three and more children as well as "real desire" for one child

Conclusion

Considering religiosity the East-West difference declines notably. However, the coefficient of regional origin turns insignificant only for the "real desire" for two as well as for three and more children. In order to explain the remaining variation, further research is necessary. For examining the imprint throughout the surrounding environment, the analyzed determinants (data of the current location) have to be considered on the macro level as well.

Dobritz, Jürgen (2010). Kinderzahlen und Lebensformen im West-Dat-Vergleich. Engleinises des Milkrozensus 2008. Berüfkerungsforschrung Aktuell 31, 0. Eggen, Bend (Hispa). (2009). Kinderscher Familien. Miesbaker v. V. Verflag für Schalbissenschaftler. Hank, Karsten/Keyenfeld, Minkaeli/Spied, Katharina (2004). Kinderheterung und Fertilität in Deutschland. Zelschrift für Soziologie 33, 3, 2:28-244. Halmin, Johannes (2005). Stödesschland auf dem Way zur Ein-Krif-Familier in V. Deneck, Christians ein (Hrg.); Alvamderung, Gelbeutrenfücksgnung und regior Institut für Demoskope Alsensbach (2004). Einfußsätzer auf die Geburternick. Engebnisse einer Repräsentabhetingung der 15- bis 44jillenge Berücke Kem. Sykink/Kimmer, AndreassBemand, Laur (2009). Win die Feinvart Feijbring in eintit greitern soziolis hendersch. MIPIDR Worksing Paper, 1.